

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,550		02/27/2002	Kazuhito Rokutan	ASAM.0051	5577	
38327	7590	03/24/2005		EXAMINER		
REED SM			LY, CHEYNE D			
3110 FAIRV FALLS CH		RK DRIVE, SUIT 'A 22042	E 1400	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1631		

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/083,550	ROKUTAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summa	iry E	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cheyne D. Ly	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appea	ers on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CON - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a his communication. t thirty (30) days, a reply wi dimum statutory period will a for reply will, by statute, ca months after the mailing da	a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>09 Aug</u>	<u>ust 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This ad	ction is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-10</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 12</u> is/are reject 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to select to result its select to result is a subject to result is a su	is/are withdrawn fr ted.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Res Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/04. 		Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/083,550 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' arguments filed August 09, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

- 2. The addition of claims 11 and 12 has been acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 1, 11, and 12 are examined on the merits.

IDS

4. The IDS, filed December 15, 2004, has been considered. However, the document by Renu et al. (pages 191-206) listed in said IDS has not been considered because the instant application does not contain English-language translation to the foreign document as is required for consideration for a reference. For a document published in a non-English-language, a copy of the translation of the document to the English-language is required. (See MPEP § 609)

LACK OF ENABLEMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112, FIRST PARAGRAPH

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for making a representation such as a drawing of a substrate having a

Application/Control Number: 10/083,550

Art Unit: 1631

life and death axis, and inflammation and anti-inflammation axis as disclosed in Figures 2 and 3, does not reasonably provide enablement for a substrate having a life and death axis, and inflammation and anti-inflammation axis. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

- 7. The instant rejection has been necessitated by the addition of claim 12.
- 8. Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation have been summarized in Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986) and reiterated by the Court of Appeals in In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 at 1404 (CAFC 1988). The factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required include: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount or direction presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims. The Board also stated that although the level of skill in molecular biology is high, the results of experiments in genetic engineering are unpredictable. While all of these factors are considered, a sufficient amount for a prima facie case is discussed below.
- 9. It is noted that the instant specification discloses representations such as drawings of a substrate having a life and death axis, and inflammation and anti-inflammation axis in

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/083,550

Art Unit: 1631

Figures 2 and 3, and pages 10-11. However, the instant specification does not provide

Page 4

guidance via specific directions or working examples for making the claimed substrate

having a life and death axis, and inflammation and anti-inflammation axis. The instant

specification does not set forth any criteria for determining the specific genes that are

positioned on the life and death axis, or and inflammation and anti-inflammation axis.

Therefore, one of skill in the art would not know how to predictably make the claimed

invention without undue experimentation.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

11. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Iyer et al.

(1999).

12. The instant rejection has been necessitated by the addition of "support substrate has

fixation regions divided according to said classification" in claim 1.

13. Iyer et al. discloses a microarray comprising 8600 different human genes (Abstract). The

type of genes on the array includes heat shock gene and tumor associated antigen involved in

wound healing (Fig 5, H), which represents stress related genes as defined by the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/083,550 Page 5

Art Unit: 1631

specification. Further, the microarray comprises genes classified by their respective functions: 1, protein disulfide isomerase-related protein P5; 2, IL-8 precursor, and 4, vascular endothelial growth factor. The microarray has fixation regions as divided by the number assigned to each functionally annotated gene on the substrate (Figure 1), as in instant claim 1.

CONCLUSION

- 14. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

 16. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1631

17. This application contains claims 2-10 drawn to an invention nonelected, filed February 11, 2004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

18. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547. The USPTO's official fax number is (571) 273-8300. 19. Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. 20. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,550 Page 7

Art Unit: 1631

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Dune Ly, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

22. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0718.

C. Dune Ly 3/9/05

Ash J. Marsh 3/19/05